1	STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT
2	COUNTY OF HENNEPIN FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	
4	
5	
6	State of Minnesota,
7	Plaintiff, CONTINUED FLORENCE HEARING
8	vs.
9	Roxanne Marie Richardson, FILE NO. 04029890
10	Defendant.
11	
12	
13	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before
14	the Honorable Isabel Gomez, one of the Judges of the
15	above-named Court, on the 22nd day of October, 2004, at
16	the Hennepin County Government Center, City of
17	Minneapolis, County of Hennepin, and State of Minnesota.
18	
19	Martha Holton Dimick, Assistant Hennepin County
20	Attorney, appeared on behalf of the State.
21	Jill Clark, Esq., appeared on behalf of the
22	Defendant.
23	Defendant personally appeared.
24	CLERK: Miriam Rea.
25	REPORTER: Darlene Heinz.

THE COURT: Ms. Holton Dimick, will 1 you read the case in, please. 2 MS. HOLTON DIMICK: I sure will, Your 3 4 Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 5 MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Your Honor, this 6 is the matter of the State of Minnesota vs. Roxanne Marie 7 The SIP number on the file is 04029890, the Richardson. 8 County Attorney number is 04-5272. 9 I'm Martha Holton Dimick, on behalf of 10 the State. 11 Jill Clark, on behalf of MS. CLARK: 12 the defendant, Roxanne Richardson. 13 And my apologies to the Court for the 1.4 confusion over scheduling. 15 THE COURT: Oh, I understand you 16 can't be in two places at once. 17 I try, but it doesn't MS. CLARK: 18 work. 19 THE COURT: It doesn't work. 20 And I know that Ms. Holton Dimick is 21 frequently jammed into that situation as well. 22 And we're here today for, essentially, 23 I think, for continuance of a Florence hearing, in the 24 sense that the parties have submitted all of the evidence 25

that the Court is required to have to make a decision, and 1 that the parties have made arguments concerning how the 2 Court should view that evidence. So, it does not appear 3 to me that we need additional testimony today. Is there anyone anticipating bringing 5 additional evidence before the Court? MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Your Honor, the 7 State does not intend to. 8 Your Honor, unless there MS. CLARK: 9 is some change in the position of the State as to where 10 the evidence is concerning the conversations between 11 Roxanne Richardson and her husband, then no, we would rely 12 on those conversations. 13 I did just, in the last day or so, 14 receive on my FAX some transcripts from the prosecutor's 15 But given my current trial situation, I haven't 16 had a chance to review those yet, so I'm not sure what 17 they are. 18 I have reviewed them. THE COURT: 19 And at my request --20 There were a couple of the tapes that 21 were hard for the Court to hear. And so at my request I 22 asked Ms. Holton Dimick whether the State had prepared 23 transcripts of the materials that were submitted to me on

25

disk and tape.

And the transcript that she has 1 provided was transcript of some materials that were on 2. tape and/or disk. So, it did not appear to me that they 3 were new materials. So, anything additional from anyone at 5 this point? 6 MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Nothing from the State, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Then I am finding myself this 10 afternoon in a really, really unusual position; in that I 11 am in my 21st year in this Court, and have never before 12 found that there was not probable cause for a felony case 13 in its entirety. Sometimes over the years I have found 14 there was not probable cause for one count or another. 15 In this case, I have carefully 16 reviewed, or listened to all of the CD Roms, and tape 17 recordings -- some of them were duplicative -- that were 18 provided to me, and considered counsels' argument, and I 19 simply cannot find probable cause. 2.0 And, of course --21 And I will say a few things about 22 where the gaps are. But, frankly, I don't think I need to 23 say a lot, because it's hard for me to see how any judge 24 in the Court of Appeals, for example, could put probable

cause together here.

In fact, I am so much shocked at the quality of the investigation, in which it appears to me, early on, the investigators adopted -- they both adopted Flaco's view that Willie and Roxanne were connected, or united in a determination to hurt the victim, that he's assumed to have, or that he is alleged to have assaulted.

They both adopted Flaco's thoughts about that and, also, led Flaco in the transcript of his conversation with the sheriff's investigator, to a place where even he had not gone, or at least he hadn't -- at least to the extent he had gone there, it's not clear when he did that.

But the problem is, regardless, he could think whatever he thinks. He is not an investigator; he is not a prosecutor; he certainly is not an independent magistrate. And the fact is, that if he believed that, there sure did not turn ought to be any evidence of it that I could find.

When I look at the interview between the investigator and Flaco on April 27, we have questions like -- well, we go from question, on page three of the transcript, "Were you suppose to find a gun for Roxanne?"

Answer: "Yes."

Question: "Was she hesitant to talk 1 to you about the specifics of getting a gun for her?" 2 Answer: "Yes. She told me to come to 3 her crib in person." 4 Question: "Do you know what she was 5 going to use that gun for?" 6 "No." Answer: 7 Question: "If you could not find a 8 gun for her, what were you suppose to do, take care of the 9 daughter?" 10 "And by that what do you Ouestion: 11 mean? And by that do you mean kill her?" 12 Answer: "Yes." 13 Ouestion: 14 And so what's happened there is, first 15 he says, I think accurately, he doesn't know what she's 16 going to use the gun for. 17 And then he says --18 Then the question makes a certain 19 If you couldn't find a gun, what were you assumption. 20 going to do. 2.1 There isn't somewhere else --22 There isn't anywhere else, that I 23 could see, where there is a linkage between those two 24 realities. 25

For the State's position here, we're 1 going to take it that it's true that he and Willie talked 2 about killing Lacreshia, that he agrees, or he pretended 3 to agree that he would kill Lacreshia for a couple of Gs, 4 as he put it and, part B, he was going to make some deal 5 with Roxanne about a gun. 6 He says he doesn't know what she's And he says it in two going to use the gun for. 8 different ways; he says it negatively, and he says it 9 affirmatively. 10 And then the question is -- creates 11 this link, if you couldn't find the gun, then --12 He hasn't made that link before. 1.3 hasn't said that the deal with Willie was if he couldn't 14 kill that -- that she was going to kill Lacreshia, and if 15 she couldn't kill Lacreshia, then he would kill her. 16 That isn't what he said. He said he agreed to kill 17 Lacreshia, and he agreed to find a gun. 18 And then the next question is: "So, it 19 was possible, in your understanding of the situation with 20 Willie, that Roxanne could possibly use the gun to kill 21 22 Lacreshia?" And, obviously, he says probably, 23 "Yes". 24 Well, I think that question speaks for

2

itself.

2

So, here is the evidence we have:

We have evidence that Willie and Flaco

3

1

4 made a deal to kill Lacreshia; we have evidence that

5

Willie told Roxanne, on a tape, that he had been talking

6

with Flaco, it's not clear about what, actually, about no

7

good, that's clear, and that Flaco wouldn't return his

8

calls, and that she should call Flaco and tell Flaco to

9

take his collect calls from the jail.

10

And she says, in a couple of places --

11

she says, and Flaco says, that she called Flaco, left a

12

message that he should take Willie's calls. After that

13

he did take Willie's calls.

14

There are conversations in which it is

15

clear that Roxanne Richardson has taken Willie's side in

16

this crime. And it is clear that she certainly is not playing a positive maternal role with Lacreshia, to put it

17

18

mildly.

19

And as she says, herself, at one

20

point, she really has nothing but negative thoughts about

21

Lacreshia, including that Lacreshia might hurt her, and

22

that she might have to move to get away from Lacreshia,

23

but she's not about to kill her.

24

So, at the outset we've got a problem

25

here, because we've got two things allegedly going on that

1 | don't match.

I mean, either Flaco is going to kill Lacreshia, or something. How the gun gets --

÷~

You know, if doesn't make sense that somehow the gun -- giving a gun to Roxanne is crucial to Flaco killing Lacreshia.

Then we have the tape --

Then we have the conversations, two wired conversations that Flaco has with Roxanne about the qun.

And I think if it could be alleged that there is a plot to do anything, or an attempt to do anything on the part of Roxanne, it would be the attempt to get a gun.

But, I've got to say that those conversations are extraordinarily equivocal in the sense that it's clear, absolutely crystal clear in those conversations, that although Roxanne wants a gun, or says she wants a gun, she wants a small gun that she can use easily, she sure isn't in any hurry for it. There is no time line; there is no pressure; there is no nothing about Roxanne pushing to get that gun.

On day one, Flaco, knowing that the cops are listening, shows up, says, what about the gun, blah, blah, blah.

She says she absolutely doesn't have 1 money. And that there is a settlement, maybe there will 2 be money, she has a court, -- maybe there will be a court, 3 It's all very vague. maybe not, whatever. 4 And, frankly, that conversation can be 5 taken -- it's not the least ambiguous conversation I ever 6 Because on the one hand, she say she wants the heard. gun, and I will take it for the sake of this probable 8 cause hearing that she does and, on the other hand, when 9 is no big deal. 10 And we know we've got this trial 11 Willie is on the tape totally obsessed with what coming. 1.2 can he do to get out from under these charges and, you 13 know, what does the lawyer have to do, and what can the 14 lawyer be provided with, and how can he prove what a 15 really rotten person Lacreshia, and blah, blah, blah, all 16 kinds of stuff, busy, busy, busy, busy. 17 But there is no business about this 18 qun. And she says, I will call you when I can get the 19 money. 20 Well, then on plot number one, Flaco 21 wants a picture of Lacreshia. And he's suppose to get it 22 Does he? No. from Roxanne. 23 Roxanne has torn up the pictures, or 24 Roxanne has destroyed the pictures, she doesn't have any

pictures, whatever, whatever. There is no picture. 1 If Roxanne is suppose to be killing 2 ·Lacreshia, what does Flaco need a picture for? 3 It's clear that -- I think it's clear 4 that Flaco is carrying out his deal with Willie, or 5 purporting to anyway, and she doesn't flinch, she doesn't 6 fork over the picture. And she specifically says that she can't stand her daughter, but she doesn't want to be 8 And I think she says something like she involved. 9 doesn't want to be in this bullshit, she doesn't want to 10 hear about it, and she doesn't help him. 11 The one piece of help --12 And you can make all the faces you 13 14 want --I'm not making MS. HOLTON DIMICK: 15 I'm looking back at Ms. Lacreshia, who any faces, Judge. 16 is the daughter here, and is very upset. I'm just making 17 sure that she's okay. 18 THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry if she's 19 But the fact is, you know, we're talking about this 20 not. case. 21 Roxanne does not do a thing to help 22 Flaco. Roxanne's one act, that I am supposed to take in 23 furtherance of something, is calling up to talk to 24 Flaco -- to leave a message to Flaco to talk to Willie. 25

That's the thing we've got so far. 1 But then, you know, we've got this 2 noncooperation on the part of Roxanne. And she's suppose 3 to call him when she has the money. But she doesn't ever call him. 5 So, after some other time, he comes 6 back on wired visit number two. This time he's quite a 7 lot more wanting to get the business done. This time he 8 says he's actually got the gun. 9 She says, I absolutely don't have any 10 money whatsoever, so there is nothing I can do about it. 11 Or, you know, she just says, I don't have any money. 12 He says, well, then, I will offer it 13 to you at half price. 14 She says, I don't have any money. 15 He says things about, well, what about 16 the lawnmower, what about the snowblower? 17 And she says the snowblower and 18 lawnmower are not available as part of this deal, because 19 she's given them away, or sold them, or something, to 20 somebody else. So, she's, you know, removing that as any 21 possible trade. 22 And the deal just absolutely doesn't 23 go down, because we're back to the thing about, I don't 24 have any money, I can't get you what I don't have. She

says that repeatedly.

And when the police come to arrest her, she says that she's hostile to her daughter, and that she has bad thoughts, which has been obvious throughout this whole thing, but that there is no way she would kill her.

And so we've got a terrible atmosphere where this poor young woman -- I'm going to take the State's view of this -- she is a young woman anyway. I guess I would feel sorry for her just having this kind of relationship with her mother, however that turned out.

But I'm going to take it, for the sake of probable cause, that she is the victim of a serious assault, and her mother is not standing up for her. Her mother is standing up for the person who allegedly did it. And that is terrible for a young woman. This is an ugly atmosphere.

I'm not going to sit here and act like, you know, and feel bad about --

You know, the person I feel bad about is Lacreshia.

But the fact of the matter is, as far as I'm aware, what we have here is a conspiracy to attempt to hurt Lacreshia. Thank God, nothing apparently ever came of it. Whatever it might have been.

1.0

But I'm sitting here thinking that it 1 might have been a plot between Willie and Flaco. 2 I see no clear evidence of any kind 3 that Roxanne specifically knew what that was. And I see 4 time after time when she could join in whatever it is, and 5 she doesn't, and she won't. 6 Now, taking her again, taking her at 7 her word, she was trying to buy a gun. 8 Why was she trying to buy a gun? There are a lot of reasons why she 10 might try to buy a gun. Maybe that is certainly --11 But that doesn't go with story number 12 And given her absolute lack of urgency in trying to 13 get this gun, the Court cannot -- it would take a 14 substantial stretch of the imagination to come up with the 15 idea that she's going to use it to kill Lacreshia. That 16 makes absolutely no sense whatsoever. So, I'm not sure 17 what the whole gun thing has to do with anything. 18 There has to be some linkage to this 19 plot between Willie and Flaco, and it's not there. 20 Now, I simply cannot find probable 21 cause here. And as I say, this is not a position I have 22 taken before, ever, in my entire career. 23 I have got to say -- although this is 24 not part of the decision --

The decision is, is it here, or is it 1 In my view, it is not. 2 And I must say that the sheriff's investigator's summaries of what these conversations were 4 are, first of all, of course, not the basis for probable 5 cause, and the State is not arguing that they are. The State provided the stuff that the sheriff had, and provided it very fully. 8 sheriff's investigator appears to the Court to have been doing a lot of wishful thinking, frankly. It was very 10 creative investigating, and a lot of leading going on. 11 And the result is this woman has been sitting in jail since May. This is terrible. 13 it's really terrible. And I'm not --14 There is no point in blaming anybody, 15 It's a terrible fact, that there is but it's terrible. 16 no probable cause, and this woman has sat in jail since 17 the middle of May. 18 Personally, I have never in my career 19 been involved in anything like it. 20 If the Court were to have 21 underestimated the strength of the State's evidence in 22 some dimension, we still have the much higher standard of 23

So, I don't think I'm doing anything

proof at trial.

but a favor to the State. I wouldn't want to have to be the lawyer to stand up in front of a jury with this mess. I think it would take a jury approximately three-and-a-half minutes after they swore the foreperson to say no to this case, and to wonder why they were here. So, everybody is miserable in this case. And it's a sad, sad situation from beginning to end.  If Ms. Richardson is being a bad mother, boy, she's getting hammered for it. I don't know
mess. I think it would take a jury approximately three-and-a-half minutes after they swore the foreperson to say no to this case, and to wonder why they were here.  So, everybody is miserable in this case. And it's a sad, sad situation from beginning to end.  If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
three-and-a-half minutes after they swore the foreperson to say no to this case, and to wonder why they were here.  So, everybody is miserable in this case. And it's a sad, sad situation from beginning to end.  If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
to say no to this case, and to wonder why they were here.  So, everybody is miserable in this  case. And it's a sad, sad situation from beginning to  end.  If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
So, everybody is miserable in this case. And it's a sad, sad situation from beginning to end.  If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
case. And it's a sad, sad situation from beginning to end.  If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
end. If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
If Ms. Richardson is being a bad
mother, boy, she's getting hammered for it. I don't know
whether she is or not, you know.
And so, as of today, I'm dismissing
this case for lack of probable cause.
And Ms. Richardson is to be released
as soon as the jail can get her out.
MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Thank you, Your
Honor.
Your Honor, if I may, since the Court
did find that there was a potential attempt here, I would
like leave to reconsider charges for an attempt.
THE COURT: I didn't find
MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Could I have a
copy of the transcript, please.
THE COURT: Let's sit down and clear

```
that up.
1
                         What did I find an attempt to be?
2
                         MS. HOLTON DIMICK:
                                              You said there
3
    was an attempt to commit an aggravated assault, and --
4
                                      I think I know what she's
                         MS. CLARK:
5
                    The Court was saying --
    talking about.
6
                         MS. HOLTON DIMICK:
                                              I don't need an
    explanation.
8
                         I accept the Judge's decision.
9
                         And I just want a copy of the
10
                   That's all I'm asking for.
     transcript.
11
                         THE COURT: Ms. Holton Dimick, I want
12
    to say, unequivocally, right now, if you heard me say that
13
     I found probable cause for anything, you heard me wrong.
14
                         I find probable cause for nothing. I
15
    do not find probable cause for Ms. Roxanne Richardson to
16
     have done one thing.
17
                         MS. HOLTON DIMICK:
                                              That is fine,
18
     Judge. I accept that.
19
                         I just want a copy of the transcript.
20
                         THE COURT: You're absolutely entitled
21
22
     to that.
                                               Thank you.
                         MS. HOLTON DIMICK:
23
                                      I assume that pending any
                         MS. CLARK:
24
     possible motion for reconsideration, there is no bail, and
25
```

that Ms. Richardson is released? 1 She is. THE COURT: 2 And, Your Honor, MS. HOLTON DIMICK: 3 you know, I kind of take offense with the Court making 4 comments about the history of this case, and why this poor 5 woman sat in jail. I can tell you why this poor woman sat in jail. 7 She was first represented by 8 Ms. Waite. And at the time that we had the first 9 pretrial, Ms. Waite asked for a preplea investigation. Ms. Holton THE COURT: No. 11 Dimick --12 You made some --MS. HOLTON DIMICK: 13 THE COURT: -- sit down. 14 MS. HOLTON DIMICK: You made some 15 16 comments --THE COURT: Sit down. 17 MS. HOLTON DIMICK: You made some 18 comments about my case, and I think I have a right to 19 address the Court on that. 20 You do not have a right THE COURT: 21 to keep talking when I tell you not to. 22 I specifically --23 I have looked at the blues here. 24 I have not attacked you for the fact 25

that this has happened. And if you heard it, it's because 1 you're upset. And you can read the transcript and see 2 3 that. I specifically said, I'm not blaming 4

څ س

I looked at the blues, because in a case like this, in a court like this, where this Court prides itself on moving things along, my concern was, what the heck did the Court -- what has the Court been doing here since May. I looked at the blues, you know, and I wondered what had happened.

And what I saw was that early on there was a different attorney, and that there was continuance after continuance; several of them at the defense's request.

MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Ouite a few of them.

THE COURT: I also saw that until Ms. Clark got on the case, there had been no, apparently, any clear communication between the defense and the State about where the, you know, clear demand for discovery, or whatever. Or, at any rate, that the discovery, you know, did not -- these tapes and CDs didn't get transferred to the defense until August or whatever, the end of August, I think.

5

anybody.

7 8

12

13

1.0

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

į	
1	So, if you heard me say that you have
2	been bad, and causing delay, and making this woman sit in
3	jail, I didn't say that. And I'm not saying it now.
4	I'm saying it's a crappy case. In
5	fact, the worst case I have seen in 21 years. I am saying
6	that.
7	MS. HOLTON DIMICK: And I'm saying
8	you're saying that because
9	THE COURT: I'm saying
10	MS. HOLTON DIMICK: I'd like a copy
11	of the transcript.
12	THE COURT: Ms. Holton Dimick
13	MS. HOLTON DIMICK: I accept what you
14	said, Judge.
15	I would like to go back to my office
16	so that I don't get upset any more than you say I am
17	upset.
18	Okay?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MS. HOLTON DIMICK: Thank you.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

٠.

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Darlene Heinz, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages of transcript are a true and accurate transcription of my Stenograph notes, taken at the time and place aforementioned.

January 28, 2006. DATED:

DARLENE HEINZ