# STATE OF MINNESOTA



## IN COURT OF APPEALS OFFICE OF

State	of	Minnesota,
Diane	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	TATITITIONO (C.

Respondent,

ORDER

VS.

A11-361

Daniel Drijic,

Appellant.

#### BASED ON THE FILE, RECORD, AND PROCEEDINGS, AND BECAUSE:

- 1. This criminal appeal was filed on February 23, 2011. In the statement of the case, appellant requested oral argument.
- 2. Appellant's brief was due on July 15, 2011, which was 60 days after the transcript was delivered on May 16, *see* Minn. R. Crim. P. 28.02, subd. 10, but appellant has not filed the brief or a timely motion for an extension.
- 3. Oral argument will not be allowed if a party fails to file a timely brief. Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 134.01(b). A party aggrieved by the decision to deny oral argument may, within five days after receipt of the notification, file a motion pursuant to rule 127 requesting reconsideration of the decision. Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 134.01.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Appellant's brief shall be served and filed by August 8, 2011.

- 2. Appellant's failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of the appeal.
- 3. Pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 134.01(b), oral argument is denied and, upon completion of briefing, this matter shall be scheduled for nonoral consideration.

Dated: July 28, 2011

### BY THE COURT

<u>/s/</u>	
Matthew E. Johnson	
Chief Judge	